Dear Sir or Madam,

We are concerned that [redacted], the barrister acting on behalf of Oxfordshire Rail Freight Limited at the OXSFRI IS2 hearing on 30.9.26, attempted to discuss evidence on PFAS testing (both on and off the site) that did not appear in any of the documents submitted to the Planning Inspectorate for display on the relevant project page, in accordance with the rules on written representations set out in the Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010 (section 10).

In the most recent relevant document that was on the project page, the Environmental Statement: Ground Conditions, Chapter 11, produced for Oxfordshire Rail Freight Limited by BWB consulting in 2026 (document 6.11D).states on page 71 that 'no testing for PFAS/PFOS has been undertaken to date'. Therefore, we assume this to be the most current position on PFAS testing at the site.

However, in the ISH2 hearing yesterday [redacted] referred to results of sampling from ground and surface water on site in the summer of 2026. These results do not appear to be published on the Planning Inspectorate's project page for the Oxfordshire Strategic Rail Freight Interchange. [redacted] also referred to a surface water sample from the Gallos Brook of 42-43 micrograms of PFAS per litre, without stating the source of this data.

We are therefore formally requesting that any testing results or reports for PFAS on the site over the summer of 2026, or at any other time, on behalf of the applicant be ruled by the Examining Authority as a written representation and published immediately on the project page, or ruled as inadmissible because they have not been submitted before the required deadline.

We are also confused as to how surface water testing can have been undertaken on the site in summer 2026, as all the streams appeared to have dried up in the drought.

Yours faithfully,

Gallos Brook